# **Local Annual Performance Report**

**School Year 2017-2018** 

## **SU031 North Country SU**

# Data Administration and Reporting Team (802) 828-0515



#### Local Annual Performance Report School Year 2017-2018

A description of how data were calculated for each indicator can be found by clicking on the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: Not applicable to this Supervisory Union or No data

\*\*\*: Suppressed due to counts <5

OSEP: U.S. Department of Education's Office of Special Education Programs

Indicator 1: <u>Graduation Rate</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: DC#06 and DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2016-2017 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma. Calculated as 4 year, 5 year and 6 year cohorts. Reported data is highest result for State.	89.36%	82.14%	≥ 86.00%	Yes

Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rate</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and Child Count Exiting Collections Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2016-2017 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU
		Actual	Target	Target
				Met?
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	6.74%	4.17%	≤ 3.25%	No

Indicator 3: <u>Assessment</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Assessment data and DC#04

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
A. Reserved.	NA	NA	NA	NA
B. Participation rate for children with IEPs for Reading assessments	94.21%	95.47%	≥ 99.25%	No
B. Participation rate for children with IEPs for Math assessments	94.86%	95.37%	≥ 99.25%	No
C. Proficiency percentage for children with IEPs on Reading assessments	11.26%	14.79%	≥ 12.20%	No
C. Proficiency percentage for children with IEPs on Math assessments	7.46%	9.65%	≥ 7.30%	Yes



Indicator 4A: <u>Suspension/Expulsion</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2016-2017 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children	No	0.00%	No	Yes
with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year?		0.00,0	- 10	

Indicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion by race or ethnicity</u> – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2016-2017 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
Was the district identified by the State as having a significant				
discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and				
expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with	No	0.00%	No	Yes
IEPs and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the				
significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements?				

Indicator 5: School Age LRE – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count

Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served:	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day (SU data must be equal or greater than State Target).	84.84%	77.82%	≥ 79.00%	Yes
B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day (SU data must be less than or equal to State Target).	3.43%	4.61%	≤ 7.00%	Yes
C. In separate schools, residential facilities or homebound / hospital placements (SU data must be less than or equal to State Target).	1.08%	6.03%	≤ 3.75%	Yes

Indicator 6: Early Childhood Age LRE – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count

Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 served in:	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.	85.37%	75.61%	≥71.78%	Yes
B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.	0.00%	0.70%	≤ 6.19%	Yes



# Indicator 7: <u>Early Childhood Outcomes</u> – Performance Indicator Data Source: Child Count and Child Count Exiting Collections

Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
demonstrate improved:	302 2 4.04	Actual	Target	Met?
Outcome A. Improved positive social-emotional skills (including		rictuai	Target	TVICE:
social relationships)				
1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited their program				
below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who	0==00/	04 ==0/	. 06 600/	37
substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited	87.50%	81.75%	≥ 86.63%	Yes
the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	20.100/	10 (10/	> 40.010/	NI.
expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	38.10%	48.64%	≥ 40.91%	No
Outcome B. Improved acquisition and use of knowledge and				
skills (including early language / communication and early				
literacy)				
1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited their program				
below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially	90.00%	84.65%	≥ 87.30%	Yes
increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	38.10%	36.05%	≥ 32.49%	Yes
expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	30.1070	30.0376	2 32.47 /0	165
Outcome C. Improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their				
needs				
1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited their program				
below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially	94.12%	85.21%	≥ 86.00%	Yes
increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	47.62%	57.28%	≥ 54.71%	No
expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	17.02/0	57.2070	<u>- 54.7 1 /0</u>	110

### $Indicator\ 8: \underline{Parent\ Involvement} - Performance\ Indicator$

Data Source: Parent Involvement Survey

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Target
		Actual	Target	Met?
Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	44.44%	37.03%	≥ 38.12%	Yes

#### Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and DC#06

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
		Actual	Target	Target?
Has the SU been identified for disproportionate representation of race and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0.00%	No	Yes



Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality</u> – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and DC#06

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
		Actual	Target	Target?
Has the SU been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0.00%	No	Yes

Indicator 11: Child Find – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
		Actual	Target	Target?
Percent of children with parental consent for initial evaluation, who were evaluated within 60 days.	98.31%	97.58%	100.00%	No

Indicator 12: <u>Early Childhood Transition</u> – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
		Actual	Target	Target?
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B and who have IEP developed and implemented by their 3 <sup>rd</sup> birthdays.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Yes

Indicator 13: Post-Secondary Transition – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
		Actual	Target	Target?
Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Yes



### Indicator 14: <u>Post-Secondary Transition</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Post-Secondary Outcomes Survey

Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU Met
effect at the time they left school, and were:		Actual	Target	Target?
A. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	30.00%	21.94%	≥ 24.25%	Yes
B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	60.00%	62.58%	≥ 56.50%	Yes
C. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	90.00%	78.71%	≥ 72.00%	Yes

