Vermont's Policy and Procedures: Significant Disproportionality in Special Education

Cassidy Canzani, IDEA Federal Data Administration Director



- What does "significant disproportionality" mean?
- What categories are measured?



- One of three equity requirements of the IDEA:
 - Disproportionate Representation
 - Significant Discrepancy
 - Significant Disproportionality



- Measurement of racial and ethnic disparity in special education outcomes
 - Overrepresentation of a racial or ethnic group in a category
- Disproportionality becomes *significant* when the overrepresentation exceeds a defined threshold



- Federal law requires states to annually examine data by race or ethnicity in the state and all districts with respect to:
 - Identification of children as having a disability or one of six particular impairments
 - Placement of children in restrictive educational settings
 - Incidence, duration, and type of disciplinary removals



Categories of Analysis: Identification

For children ages 3 through 21:

- Identification as children with disabilities
- Identification as children with the following impairments:
 - Intellectual disabilities
 - Specific learning disabilities
 - Emotional disturbance
 - Speech or language impairments
 - Other health impairments
 - Autism



Categories of Analysis: Educational Environment

For children with disabilities ages 6 through 21:

- Placement inside a regular class less than 40 percent of the day
- Placement inside separate schools and residential facilities, not including:
 - Homebound or hospital settings
 - Correctional facilities



Categories of Analysis: Discipline

For children with disabilities ages 3 through 21:

- Out-of-school suspensions and expulsions of 10 days or fewer
- Out-of-school suspensions and expulsions of more than 10 days
- In-school suspensions of 10 days or fewer
- In-school suspensions of more than 10 days
- Disciplinary removals in total, including:
 - In-school and out-of-school suspensions
 - Expulsions
 - Removals by school personnel to an interim alternative education setting
 - Removals by a hearing officer



The Final Rule

- Enforces a standard methodology for all states with some flexibility
- Flexible elements are set with stakeholder input



Standard Methodology: Risk Ratios

- Risk: portion of a racial/ethnic group experiencing an outcome
- Risk Ratio: A racial/ethnic group's risk of an outcome vs everyone else's risk of the same outcome



2.0



Standard Methodology: Risk Ratios

- Risk: portion of a racial/ethnic group experiencing an outcome
- Risk Ratio: A racial/ethnic group's risk of an outcome vs everyone else's risk of the same outcome

Risk Ratio	What does it mean?
1	Equal or proportionate representation
>1	Overrepresentation (greater risk of)
< 1	Underrepresentation (less risk of)



State-Selected Variables

- Thresholds
- Minimum cell and n sizes
- Number of years of data
- Reasonable progress



Thresholds



Thresholds

• States **must** determine the threshold for risk ratios over which disproportionality is considered significant



- A threshold must be determined for each category of analysis
 - Thresholds can be the same or different across categories of analysis

– Thresholds must be the same across racial and ethnic groups

• Thresholds must be reasonable





What Are Cell and N?

- Cell size: number of students experiencing a certain outcome
- N size: number of students in the group that *could* experience that outcome





• Small populations result in large swings in risk calculations with the entrance or exit of just 1 student





- States **must** determine minimum sizes for analysis groups
- Minimum cell and n sizes must be determined for each category of analysis
 - Minimums can be the same or different across categories of analysis
 - Minimums must be the same across racial and ethnic groups



- Minimum cell and n sizes must be reasonable
- Range of values considered presumptively reasonable:
 - Cell size: 1 through 10
 - N size: 1 through 30



Number of Years of Data



Number of Years of Data

- States **may** use multiple years of data to perform significant disproportionality analysis
- Only risk ratios above the threshold for the selected number of years would be identified as significantly disproportionate



Reasonable Progress



Reasonable Progress

- If using multiple years of data to perform significant disproportionality analysis, states **may** set criteria for reasonable progress
- Only risk ratios above the threshold for the selected number of years *and* failing to improve by the state-designated reasonable progress standard each year would be identified as significantly disproportionate

