Decision Points

Sec. 9 Proposals and Secretary's Proposed Statewide Plan

Overview

The Secretary's proposed statewide plan was based on **51 decision points**:

- 46 Oral and written submissions under Sec. 9
 - 4 of the 46 were joint proposals that the Secretary separated into
 component parts because the districts, although members of the same
 SU, were not structurally interconnected (e.g., one elementary district
 was a member of a union high school district and one district was not, as
 with the joint proposal from the Dummerston and Vernon Districts)
- Plus 5 Separation of the 4 joint proposals into component parts resulted in an *additional* 5 decision points
- Equals 51 Separate decision points

47 of the 51 decision points involved Sec. 9 submissions that either proposed maintaining the current governance structure <u>or</u> asked the State Board to require merger

- 51 Separate decision points

 Minus 4 of the 51 decision points concerned the Sec. 9 submissions of school boards that neither proposed maintaining the current governance structure nor asked the State to require merger

 Equals 47 Decision points involving the Sec. 9 submissions that either proposed
 - maintaining the current governance structure <u>or</u> asked the State Board to require merger

Of the **47 decision points** for the Sec. 9 submissions that either proposed retaining the current structure or asked to be merged, the **Secretary's proposed statewide plan**:

- Agreed with 32
- Disagreed with 15

Eleven of the 51 decision points involved three union high school districts (UHSDs) and their member elementary districts. The districts' structural interconnectedness necessitated a single recommendation for each of the three, which caused there to be **43 numbered recommendations** in the Secretary's proposed statewide plan.

- 51 Separate decision points
- Minus 11 11 of the 51 were decision points involving 3 UHSDs and their member elementary districts (*Brattleboro UHSD; Oxbow UHSD; Mount Anthony UHSD*)
 - Plus 3 Translating into 3 recommendations
- Equals 43 Numbered recommendations in Secretary's proposed plan

Districts (Numbered as in Secretary's Proposed Plan)	Sec. 9 Proposal from Local Board(s) (Appendix F "Snapshot" page)	Secretary's Recommendations (Proposed Plan page)	Decision Points
Traditional Union Hig	h School Districts (elei	mentary districts have	e common structure)
1. Brattleboro Union HSD & its 4 Member Districts ¹		Create UUSD (pp. 27-36)	
Brattleboro ²	Create UUSD (pp. 5-6)		Secretary agreed
Dummerston ³	Retain current structure (pp. 7-13)		Secretary disagreed
Guilford	Create UUSD (pp. 14-15)		Secretary agreed
Putney ⁴	No specific proposal – but submitted analysis (pp. 16-18)		No proposal with which Secretary could agree or disagree
2. Missisquoi Valley Union HSD & its 3 Member Districts 5 (Franklin, Highgate, Swanton)	Retain current structure (pp. 19-23)	Create UUSD (pp. 37-42)	Secretary disagreed
3. Oxbow Union HSD & its 2 Member Districts ⁶		Create UUSD & include BMU in it – see #21 below (pp. 43-48 and 126-31)	
Newbury	Retain current structure; add BMU to OESU ⁷ (pp. 24-26)	(77. 10 20 mm 120 01)	Secretary disagreed
Bradford Oxbow Union	Create UUSD & include BMU in it (pp. 27-28)		Secretary agreed
4. Spaulding Union HSD & its 2 Member Districts (Barre City; Barre Town)	Pursue voluntary merger, round #2 8 (p. 29)	No proposal – allow voluntary merger process to proceed (pp. 49-50)	Secretary agreed
5. Union 32 HSD & its 5 Member Districts 9 (Berlin; Calais; East Montpelier; Middlesex; Worcester)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 30-34)	Create UUSD (and refers to #24 Twinfield discussion) (pp. 51-59)	Secretary disagreed
Non-Member Elemen	tary Districts (NMED) in 0-62 for an overview of the str	•	UUSD)
6. Barnard Elementary District (PK-6)	Retain current governance structure	Ask MUUSD to accept district as PK-12	Secretary disagreed
7. Cambridge Elementary District (PK-6)	(pp. 35-41) No specific proposal submitted (pp. 42-43)	member (pp. 63-70) Ask MUUSD to accept district as PK-12 member 10 (pp. 71-73)	No proposal with which Secretary could agree or disagree
8. Huntington Elementary District (PK-4)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 44-45)	Ask MUUSD to accept district as PK-12 member 11 (pp. 74-78)	Secretary disagreed
9. Orwell Elementary District (PK-8)	No specific proposal submitted (p. 46)	Ask MUUSD to accept district as PK-12 member 12 (pp. 79-82)	No proposal with which Secretary could agree or disagree ¹³
10. Windham Elementary District (PK-6)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 47-50)	Ask MUUSD to accept district as PK-12 member (pp. 83-88)	Secretary disagreed

Draft Default Articles of Agreement (Revised: May 2, 2019)



Districts (Numbered as in Secretary's Proposed Plan)	Sec. 9 Proposal from Local Board(s)	Secretary's Recommendations (Proposed Plan page)	Decision Points
,	(Appendix F "Snapshot" page)		
Union School Distric	ts with <u>UN</u> -Like Membe	ers (see Sec's proposal page	s 89-90)
11. Bellows Falls Union HSD & its 4 Member Districts 14(Athens; Grafron; Rockingham; Westminster)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 51-54)	Retain current governance structure at this time; states SBE may have additional information (e.g., whether to create union elementary school?) (pp. 91-96)	Secretary agreed
12. Hazen Union HSD & Lakeview Union ESD & all Member Districts 15 (Greensboro; Hardwick; Stannard; Woodbury)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 55-57)	Retain current governance structure at this time; states SBE may have additional information (e.g., whether to create union elementary school?) (pp. 97-99)	Secretary agreed
13. Lake Region Union HSD & its 7 Member Districts (Albany; Barton; Brownington; Glover; Irasburg; Orleans; Westmore)	Pursue voluntary merger, round #2 ¹⁶ (pp. 58-59)	No proposal – allow voluntary merger process to proceed (pp. 100-01)	Secretary agreed
14. Mount Anthony Union HSD & its 5 Member Districts ¹⁷		Create one Union Elementary School District: Bennington, Pownal, Shaftsbury, Woodford (pp. 102-08)	
Bennington ¹⁸	Create (1) UUSD with tax incentives or (2) MUUSD per study c'ees proposal (pp. 60-61)		Secretary agreed (to extent possible) 19
Shaftsbury	Same		Same
Woodford North Bennington	Same (1) NB would withdraw from Mount Anthony UHSD (2) SBE would then move to Battenkill as stand-alone district (p. 62)		Same No proposal with which Secretary could agree or disagree
Pownal	(1) Create a MUUSD with Pownal as an NMED <u>OR</u> (2) Create Union Elementary School District (pp. 63-64)		Secretary agreed ²⁰
15. North Country Union HSD & its Member Districts ²¹ (Brighton; Charleston; Derby; Holland; Jay; Lowell; Morgan; Newport City; Newport Town; Troy; Westfield)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 65-69)	Create three Union Elementary Districts: Brighton- Charleston Derby-Holland Jay-Westfield (pp. 109-112)	Secretary disagreed



Districts (Numbered as in Secretary's Proposed Plan)	Sec. 9 Proposal from Local Board(s) (Appendix F "Snapshot" page)	Secretary's Recommendations (Proposed Plan page)	Decision Points
Single Town Districts	that Pay Tuition for Al	I Students, PK-12 (see	Sec's proposal pages 113-14)
16. Pittsfield District	Retain current governance structure	Retain current governance structure	Secretary agreed
	(pp. 70-71)	(pp. 115-16)	
17. Sandgate District	Retain current governance structure	Retain current governance structure	Secretary agreed
	(pp. 72-73)	(pp. 117-18)	
18. Searsburg District	Retain current governance structure (pp. 74-76)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 119-20)	Secretary agreed
19. Stratton District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
1). Stratton District	governance structure (pp. 77-79)	governance structure (pp. 121-22)	Secretary agreeu
20. Winhall District	Create UUSD with any	Retain current	Secretary disagreed 22
	district that will allow district to remain a PK- 12 noperating district in Bennington Rutland SU (pp. 80-81)	governance structure (pp. 123-24)	
21. Blue Mountain UUSD (Groton; Ryegate; Wells River)	Retain current governance structure; remain as its own SD	Create UUSD with Oxbow Districts ²³ (pp. 126-31)	Secretary disagreed ²⁴
	(pp. 82-85)		
22. Cabot District ²⁵	Retain current governance structure (pp. 86-89)	Create UUSD with #23 Danville (pp. 132-42)	Secretary disagreed
23. Danville District ²⁶	Retain current governance structure	Create UUSD with #22 Cabot	Secretary disagreed
	(pp. 90-94)	(pp. 132-42)	
24. Twinfield UUSD	Create UUSD	Create UUSD – but	Secretary agreed (BUT
²⁷ (Marshfield; Plainfield)	(pp. 95-97)	does not decide with which other districts (pp. 132-42)	postpones details)
25. Craftsbury District	Retain current governance structure (p. 98)	Retain current governance structure (pp. 143-45)	Secretary agreed
26. Elmore-	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
Morristown UUSD	governance structures	governance structure (pp. 146-49)	green
and Stowe District 29	(pp. 99-101)	(pp. 140-43)	
and Stowe District ²⁹ 27. Enosburgh District and Richford District ³⁰	Retain current governance structures	(pp. 140-43) Create UUSD (pp. 150-56)	Secretary disagreed
27. Enosburgh District and Richford District 30	Retain current governance structures (pp. 102-108)	Create UUSD (pp. 150-56)	, o
 27. Enosburgh District and Richford District ³⁰ 28. Fairfax District; 	Retain current governance structures (pp. 102-108) Retain current	Create UUSD (pp. 150-56) Retain current	Secretary disagreed Secretary agreed
 27. Enosburgh District and Richford District ³⁰ 28. Fairfax District; and Fletcher District; 	Retain current governance structures (pp. 102-108) Retain current governance structures	Create UUSD (pp. 150-56) Retain current governance structures	, o
 27. Enosburgh District and Richford District ³⁰ 28. Fairfax District; 	Retain current governance structures (pp. 102-108) Retain current	Create UUSD (pp. 150-56) Retain current	Secretary agreed
 27. Enosburgh District and Richford District ³⁰ 28. Fairfax District; and Fletcher District; and Georgia District ³¹ 	Retain current governance structures (pp. 102-108) Retain current governance structures (pp. 109-111)	Create UUSD (pp. 150-56) Retain current governance structures (pp. 157-60)	, ,



Districts (Numbered as in Secretary's Proposed Plan)	Sec. 9 Proposal from Local Board(s) (Appendix F "Snapshot" page)	Secretary's Recommendations (Proposed Plan page)	Decision Points
31. Sheldon District 34	Retain current	Merge into UUSD	Secretary disagreed
	governance structure	already created by	y o
	(p. 121)	Bakersfield &	
	,	Berkshire (pp. 174-79)	
32. Stamford District 35	Pursue interstate	No proposal – allow	Secretary agreed
	contract with MA	process to proceed	<i>y</i> 0
	district (p. 122)	(pp. 180-81)	
33. Waits River Valley	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed 36
UUSD (Corinth and	governance structure;	governance structure	J
Topsham)	possibly move to a new	(pp. 182-84)	
20 por	SU (pp. 123-25)	(77, 102 01)	
	lerger is Not "Possible'		T
34. Arlington District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	
	(pp. 126-28)	(pp. 185-86)	
35. Canaan District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	
	(p. 129)	(pp. 185-86)	
36. Coventry District 37	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	
	(p. 130)	(pp. 185-86)	
37. Sharon District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	
	(pp. 131-33)	(pp. 185-86)	
38. South Hero	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
District	governance structure	governance structure	v o
	(pp. 134-36)	(pp. 185-86)	
39. Strafford District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	v o
	(pp. 137-38)	(pp. 185-86)	
40. Thetford District	Retain current	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure	governance structure	
	(p. 139)	(pp. 185-86)	
41. Vernon District 38	,	Retain current	Secretary agreed
	Retain current	Retain Current	Secretary wareen
	governance structure	governance structure	Secretary agreem
	governance structure		secremy ugreen
42. Windsor / West		governance structure	, ,
	governance structure (p. 140) Retain current	governance structure (pp. 185-86) Retain current	Secretary agreed
	governance structure (p. 140) Retain current governance structure	governance structure (pp. 185-86) Retain current governance structure	, ,
42. Windsor / West Windsor UUSD 43. Wolcott District 39	governance structure (p. 140) Retain current governance structure (p. 141)	governance structure (pp. 185-86) Retain current governance structure (pp. 185-86)	Secretary agreed
	governance structure (p. 140) Retain current governance structure	governance structure (pp. 185-86) Retain current governance structure	, ,

No § 706 committee / No merger vote

Voters voted on the following question in November 2017 (see Secretary's Proposed Plan, p.38, fn.37):

Should the [Town] School District, with other members of the Franklin Northwest Supervisory Union, propose to the Vermont State Board of Education to enhance the current operation of the Franklin, Highgate, MVU, Sheldon and Swanton school boards (which is an enhance Alternative Governance Structure as defined in the Act 46/49 law)?



 $^{^1}$ § 706 committee / merger vote = voters in all districts voted against merger

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Current members of the board are split , but a majority of the board favored creating a UUSD

 $^{^3}$ Submitted "AGS proposal" with #41 Vernon

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ At the Sec 10 conversation, the board agreed a change is needed; no consensus on nature of change

 $^{^{5}}$ Submitted proposal for "Enhanced AGS" with #31 Sheldon;

 $^{^6}$ No merger vote

- 7 SBE acted on $2^{\rm nd}$ part of request regarding SU boundaries before Secretary issued Proposed Plan
- ⁸ Merger vote scheduled for November 6, 2018
- ⁹ No merger vote
- 10 Could be an SD if merged
- ¹¹ Could be an SD if merged
- ¹² Could be an SD if merged
- ¹³ No consensus among board members
- ¹⁴ Merger vote: Athens, Grafton, and Westminster No; Rockingham Yes
- ¹⁵ Submitted joint proposal with #25 Craftsbury and #43 Wolcott
- ¹⁶ Merger vote scheduled for November 6, 2018
- ¹⁷ Merger vote:
 - Bennington Yes
 - Pownal No (Y-N difference of 4 votes)
 - Shaftsbury Yes
 - Woodford No (Y-N difference of 2 votes in intial vote and & 4 votes on reconsideration)
 - North Bennington board did not warn vote
- ¹⁸ Bennington, Shaftsbury, and Woodford participated jointly in one Sec. 10 Conversation, but submitted separate written documents under Sec. 9. Because they participated jointly, the Secretary's Statewide Plan counted the three proposals as one proposal for a total of 44 submissions under Sec. 9 (Proposal, pp. 6-7). This document focuses on decision points and so has counted each Sec. 9 submission separately for a total of 46 submissions.
- ¹⁹ (1) Cannot create UUSD because of NB tuitioning status (2) Not clear if there is authority for State Board to create a MUUSD
- 20 (1) Not clear if there is authority to create a MUUSD; (2) agreed with District
- ²¹ Submitted joint proposal with #36 Coventry
- ²² Secretary proposes that district remain a PK-12 nonoperating district in the B-R SU so accepted district's proposal to that extent
- ²³ Secretary stated it was "particularly difficult decision"
- 24 State Board acted on SU issue before Secretary issued Proposed Plan
- ²⁵ Merger vote: Cabot and Danville No; Twinfield Yes
- 26 Merger vote: Cabot and Danville No; Twinfield Yes
- ²⁷ Merger vote: Cabot and Danville No; Twinfield Yes
- ²⁸ See also Secretary's recommendation #12
- ²⁹ Districts submitted joint proposal
- ³⁰ Districts submitted joint proposal
- ³¹ Districts submitted joint proposal
- 32 Districts submitted joint proposal
- ³³ Voters rejected merger with Bakersfield & Berkshire 137 Yes 151 No
- 34 See also Secretary's recommendation #2 $\,$
- ³⁵ Voters rejected merger with Halifax and Readsboro
- ³⁶ The Secretary's Proposed Plan did not address SU boundaries
- $^{\rm 37}$ See also Secretary's recommendation #15
- ³⁸ Submitted "AGS proposal" with #1 Dummerston
- ³⁹ See also Secretary's recommendation #12

