

1 National Life Drive, Davis 5, Montpelier, VT 05620-2501 (p) 802-828-1130 | (f) 802-828-6433 education.vermont.gov/student-support/nutrition

Administrative Review Summary

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: Rutland Northeast Supervisory Union Self-operated Food Service Management Company Contract					
`	Date(s) of Administrative Review: November 20 & 21, 2019 Date review summary was publicly posted: January 24, 2020				
General Program Participation					
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs doe	es the School Food Authority operate?			
	School Breakfast Program				
	National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes			
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program				
	Afterschool Snack				
	Special Milk Program				
	Seamless Summer Option				
2.		erate under any Special Provisions? (These provisions			
	are an alternative to household applicate areas.)	ions for free and reduced price meals in high poverty			
	Community Eligibility Provision				
	Special Provision 1				
	Special Provision 2				
	Special Provision 3				
	N/A	\boxtimes			

Review Findings

3.	Were	any findings identified during the review of this SFA? \boxtimes YES \square NO If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.
		REVIEW FINDINGS
	A. M	Ieal Access and Reimbursement
	1.	Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□
		Finding Detail: There were discrepancies between the Benefit Issuance List and the eligibility certification back-up documentation.
	2.	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□
		Finding Detail: The Verification Process was not completed correctly.
	3.	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ☒ NO□
		Finding Detail: Point of Service meal counts must be taken for all meals.
	в м	Ieal Pattern and Nutritional Quality
		Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities YES ☒ NO□
		Finding Detail: Several recipes needed to be updated.
	2.	Offer versus Serve (OVS) – Validation of SFA compliance with provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered YES ☒ NO□
		Finding Detail: Reimbursable meal signage with the ½ cup specificity was



missing.

3. Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:

C. General Program Compliance

1. Civil Rights – Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail: An incorrect version of the short non-discrimination statement was used on signage.

2. SFA On-site Monitoring – Validation that each SFA with more than one school operating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulations YES ☒ NO□

Finding Detail: Separate monitoring forms were not completed for breakfast and lunch and were not signed by all necessary personnel.

3. Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail: The Wellness Policy language around Smart Snacks needs to be updated.

4. Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal

YES \boxtimes NO \square

Finding Detail: Non-compliant beverages were sold to middle school students. Non-compliant fundraisers were planned to take place during the school day.

5. Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail: The Professional Standards Tracking Tools need to be updated.

6. Water – Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and breakfast meal services

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail: The water fountains in the cafeteria were dirty and/or broken.



7	Food Safety and Storage – Validation that schools meet food safety and storage requirements YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail: Rodent droppings were observed in dry storage at Otter Valley MS/HS. Not all cold storage temperatures were monitored and recorded.
8	Buy American – Documentation that schools comply with Buy American provision and policy specified by regulation YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail: Non-compliant products were observed at Otter Valley MS/HS
	and Leicester Central School.
9	Reporting and Recordkeeping – Evidence that reports are submitted and maintained with other program records as required YES ☒ NO□
	Finding Detail: The Leicester Site Application must be updated to reflect current information.
1	D. School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach – Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food Service Program YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
D. C	ther Federal Program Reviews The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service − Documentation that nutritionally-balanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are provided and counting and claiming is accurate. YES ☒ NO☐ N/A☐ Finding Detail: The Leicester Site Application indicates that they are participating in NSLP Afterschool Snack Service. They do not actually participate in this program.
2	Seamless Summer Option – Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal service rules and claiming procedures used during the regular school year YES □ NO □ N/A ☒ Finding Detail:

3. Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program – Validation that participating schools increase children's exposure to and consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables and operate the program as prescribed
YES ⋈ NO□ N/A□

Finding Detail: Cooked vegetables must be accompanied by a nutrition education lesson.

4. Special Milk Program – Documentation that the SFA is operating the program in compliance with regulatory requirements and in accordance with the State Agency approved agreement

YES □ NO□ N/A⊠ Finding Detail:

E. Resource Management

1. Resource Management – Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs

YES \boxtimes NO \square

Finding Detail: The SFA's Meal Charge Policy does not reflect current practice.