

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

Administrative Review Summary

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: Rutland Central	Supervisory Union
Self-operated	
Food Service Management Company Contract	\boxtimes
Date(s) of Administrative Review: 2/27/2018-2/	28/2018

Date review summary was publicly posted: May 18, 2018

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority operate?

School Breakfast Program	
National School Lunch Program	
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	
Afterschool Snack	\boxtimes
Special Milk Program	
Seamless Summer Option	

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (*These provisions are an alternative to household applications for free and reduced price meals in high poverty areas.*)

 \square

Community Eligibility Provision	
Special Provision 1	

Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Commendations: The Rutland Town School offered a tremendous variety of freshly made food for students to choose from. The staff were caring and created a positive food environment.
- 4. Were any findings identified during the review of this SFA? ⊠ YES □ NO *If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.*

REVIEW FINDINGS

A. Program Access and Reimbursement

Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:

-Benefit issuance errors were identified.

- 2. Verification Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals
 YES □ NO⊠
 Finding Detail:
- 3. Meal Counting and Claiming Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:

-Lunch was not served on an instructional day.

- B. Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality
 - Meal Components and Quantities Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:



-The reimbursable meal signage was missing 1/2 cup specificity for fruit and vegetables for breakfast and lunch.

- The meal production records are not sufficiently documenting how components are crediting towards meal pattern requirements

- The lunch menu listing low fat chocolate milk as a milk choice even though it has been observed that it is not a choice

-Non compliant juice was being served as part of the fruit choice at breakfast and lunch.

Offer versus Serve (OVS) – Validation of SFA compliance with provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:

-Staff was not trained on OVS.

- 3. Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
- C. General Program Compliance
 - Civil Rights Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:

-Not all staff received the annual USDA Child Nutrition Program Civil Rights training.

-Incorrect civil rights statements were identified on program materials.

-Incorrect "And Justice for all Poster" was displayed.

2. SFA On-site Monitoring – Validation that each SFA with more than one school operating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulations YES ⊠ NO□



Finding Detail:

-Onsite monitoring was not completed correctly.

3. Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:

-Wellness policy is missing required components.

4. Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal
YES ⊠ NO□
Finding Detail:

-Non Smart Snack compliant beverage marketing was displayed.

- 5. Professional Standards Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements
 YES □ NO⊠
 Finding Detail:
- 6. Water Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and breakfast meal services
 YES □ NO⊠
 Finding Detail:
- Food Safety and Storage Validation that schools meet food safety and storage requirements
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:

-HACCP procedures need to be implemented in order to ensure safe rotation of product.

-HACCP procedures need to be implemented in order to ensure safe storage of food items in a walk in freezer.



- 8. Buy American Documentation that schools comply with Buy American provision and policy specified by regulation
 YES ⊠ NO□
 Finding Detail:
 Documenting compliance with the Buy American Provision is required.
- 9. Reporting and Recordkeeping Evidence that reports are submitted and maintained with other program records as required
 YES □ NO⊠
 Finding Detail:
- 10. School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food Service Program YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:

D. Other Federal Program Reviews

1. The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service – Documentation that nutritionallybalanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are provided and counting and claiming is accurate.

 $YES \boxtimes NO \square N//A \square$

Finding Detail:

-Site application incorrectly indicates that Proctor Jr./Sr. High School operates this program

-ASP monitoring requirements were not met.

-Vended meals agreement needs to be updated.

- Seamless Summer Option Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal service rules and claiming procedures used during the regular school year YES □ NO □ N/A ⊠
 Finding Detail:
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Validation that participating schools increase children's exposure to and consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables and operate the program as prescribed
 YES □ NO⊠ N/A□
 Finding Detail:



- Special Milk Program Documentation that the SFA is operating the program in compliance with regulatory requirements and in accordance with the State Agency approved agreement
 YES □ NO□ N/A⊠
 Finding Detail:
- E. Resource Management
 - Resource Management Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs
 YES □ NO⊠
 Finding Detail:

