

## Form #2 - Special Education Evaluation Plan

Please note that any fields in this sample form that are not completed are fields that would be filled in after the report is completed.

# **Cover Page**

Local Education Agency: <u>Agency Middle School</u>

Student Name: Wesley Schilling

Child Count ID #: 345678

Grade: <u>7</u> Date of Birth: <u>02/05/2010</u>

Current Educational Program: General Education

County of Residence: Jane County

Name of Parent/Guardian/Ed Surrogate: Joe and Lisa Schilling

Reason for Referral: <u>Student endured a traumatic brain injury and is struggling to access despite current</u> <u>interventions and supports.</u>

#### Dates:

Referral: <u>11/25/2022</u>			
Planning Meeting: <u>12/5/2022</u>			
Received Consent: <u>12/5/2022</u>	Received Consent: <u>12/5/2022</u>		
Report Completed:			
Eligibility Decision:			
Was the Eligibility Decision Determined within 60 days of date of consent? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No			
Date the Report was Provided to Parent/Guardian/Ed Surrogate:			
The Evaluation Plan was delivered through (check all that apply):			
⊠ Postal Mail	$\Box$ Secure Email	⊠ In-Person	
The Evaluation Plan was developed through (Check all that apply):			
□ Conversation	□ Correspondence	$\boxtimes$ Formal Meeting	

#### **Contact Information:**

If you have questions about this document or would like additional information, please contact:

the Special Education Monitoring Team

Evaluation and Planning Team (EPT) Members (table below):

Name	Role	Involved in Plan	Agreed with Decision
Joe and Lisa Schilling	⊠ Parent □ Educational Surrogate □ Guardian □ Adult Student	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:
Wesley Schilling	Student (when appropriate)	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:
Stacy Beach	Local Education Agency Representative	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:
Eliza McDonald	Special Education Teacher or Service Provider	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:
Justin Wells	General Education Teacher	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:
Ray McDougal	Individual who can interpret instructional implications	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Agree □ Disagree Initial:

## **Disability Determination - Section One**

A. The following information was used to determine whether the student/child has a disability. The EPT is developing this plan to assess the following suspected disability area(s): (Check all that apply)

□ Speech or Language Impairment

□ Orthopedic Impairment

Emotional Disturbance

□ Visual Impairment

- □ Autism Spectrum Disorder □ Intellectual Disability
- $\Box$  Specific Learning Disability  $\Box$  Deaf-Blindness
- □ Multiple Disabilities
- □ Developmental Delay
- $\boxtimes$  Traumatic Brain Injury
- 🗆 Other Health Impairment
- $\Box$  Hearing Loss



B. What concerns or areas to evaluate were used to reach the disability determination? In each section below, specify the tests and/or assessment procedures used to reach the disability determination.

Concern or area evaluated: Executive Functioning

Assessment(s)/Evaluation(s) Used: Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (BRIEF)

Professional who administered assessment/evaluation: Ray McDougal

Role of Professional: School Psychologist

Concern or area evaluated: <u>Social Pragmatics</u> Assessment(s)/Evaluation(s) Used: Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC) Professional who administered assessment/evaluation: <u>Eliza McDonald</u> Role of Professional: <u>Special Education Teacher</u>

Evaluations and information provided by the parent of the student (or documentation of LEA's attempts to obtain parental input): <u>The parents would prefer to limit the amount of direct testing Wesley endures due</u> to the recent trauma. The team will analyze cognitive results from Wesley's primary and use current grades and work samples to support the evaluation.

Observations/Recommendations by Teachers and by related services providers, when appropriate:

Additional observations will be scheduled if needed.

IF AN ASSESSMENT IS NOT CONDUCTED UNDER STANDARD CONDITIONS, DESCRIBE THE EXTENT TO WHICH IT VARIED FROM STANDARD CONDITIONS (including if the assessment was given in the student's native language or other mode of communication): \_\_\_\_\_\_

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS/INTERPRETATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS – Considering all available evaluation data, record the team's analyses of the student's functioning levels:

PRESENT LEVELS OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT – Describe the student's present levels, strengths, and the resulting academic needs, when appropriate. Include communicative status, motor abilities, and transition needs as appropriate. For students with limited English proficiency (LEP), include current level(s) of English language proficiency in reading, writing, speaking, and understanding/listening:



PRESENT LEVELS OF FUNCTIONAL PERFORMANCE – Describe the student's present levels, strengths, and the resulting functional and developmental needs, when appropriate: \_\_\_\_\_\_

BEHAVIORAL INFORMATION – Include social and emotional status and behavioral strengths and needs, when appropriate:

ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION: A student must not be found to be eligible for special education and related services if the determining factor for the student's suspected disability is any of those listed below. Respond Yes or No to, and provide evidence for, each determining factor below.

□ Yes □ No Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction. Provide evidence: \_\_\_\_\_\_

□ Yes □ No Lack of appropriate instruction in math. Provide evidence: \_\_\_\_\_\_

□ Yes □ No Limited English proficiency. Provide evidence: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Does the Evaluation and Planning Team conclude that the student met the disability determination in the area of \_\_\_\_\_? □ Yes □ No

### Adverse Effect - Section Two

Adverse effect on educational and functional performance is determined by a review of school performance measures by the EPT in light of the student's disability. The documentation requirement for this section is the one basic skill and a minimum of multiple school performance measures. However, if the student has additional educational or functional needs, they **MUST** also be addressed here or in the Needs section of this Evaluation Report. Once a student has been found eligible in one adverse effect basic skill category, their additional special education services may be offered based upon the needs of the student or the appropriateness of other standard supports available within their school. In addition, if a student is found not to have an adverse effect in any one of the basic skills assessed, it would be necessary to document each additional basic skill area of concern to prove ineligibility.

Basic Skill Area(s) of concern (check all that apply):

$\Box$ Basic Reading Skills	□ Reading Comprehension
□ Reading Fluency (SLD only)	□ Motor Skills
□ Mathematics Calculation	□ Mathematics Reasoning



□ Written Expression	□ Listening Comprehension
□ Oral Expression	⊠ Functional Performance/Skills

#### Measures of School Performance: Questions for the EPT to Consider Determining if the Disability Adversely Effects Educational and Functional Performance

Questions within this document serve as a guide on data and information sources which may support EPT decision-making in determining adverse effect. EPT Teams are not required to complete every category if not appropriate given a student's unique circumstance. This is not an exhaustive list of questions and EPT Teams can populate the Other category with descriptions of additional information that was collected and reviewed as part of the eligibility determination process. The key is to examine to the extent the disability identified adversely effects educational and functional performance.

1. Do standard or percentile scores on nationally-normed individually-administered achievement test(s), or for children ages 3 to 5, appropriate multi-domain nationally-normed test(s) or rating scale(s), demonstrate adverse effect?

Ve	rification:
Is	there evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
2.	Do standard or percentile scores on nationally-normed group-administered achievement test(s), including nationally-normed, curriculum-based measures, demonstrate adverse effect?
Ve	erification:
Is	there evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
3.	Do any reports prepared by the SU/SD or presented by the parent/guardian reflect adverse effect in any of the basic skill areas? (Grades or other measures of academic proficiency)
Ve	rification:
Is	there evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
4.	Does the child's performance on comprehensive assessments based on a system of learning results, or the Common Core as of 2014, or measurements of indicators within the Early Childhood Learning Guidelines, demonstrate adverse effect?
Ve	erification:
Is	there evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A



5.	Do criterion-referenced assessments demonstrate adverse effect in any of the basic skill areas?
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
6.	Do child's work products, language samples, or portfolios demonstrate adverse effect?
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
7.	Does disciplinary evidence, or rating scales based on systemic observations in more than one setting (whenever possible) by professionals or parents/guardians, demonstrate adverse effect in any of the basic skill areas?
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
8.	Do the child's attendance patterns demonstrate adverse effect?
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
9.	Do the child's social, behavioral, or emotional deficits (if any), as observed by professionals or parents/guardians in multiple settings (whenever possible), on clinical rating scales or in clinical interviews, demonstrate adverse effect in any of the basic skill areas?
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
10	Other (add any other data sources)
Ve	rification:
Is	here evidence of Adverse Effect? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A
EF	T Rationalization for Using Only One School Performance Measure

If only one measure of school performance was used to determine adverse effect, document the EPT's rationalization for this single measure determination:



Has the EPT determined that the information gathered on the child meets the district's definition of adverse effect?  $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

Basic Skill Area that met Adverse Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ (Choose a category)

## **Need for Special Education Services - Section Three**

1. This section seeks to provide justification that the student/child:

- a. requires specially designed instruction that cannot be provided through the educational support system or through the school's standard instructional conditions; or
- b. for Early Childhood Special Education, a justification that a delay is at a level that would affect future success in the home, school, or community without intervention prior to enrollment in elementary school.

(For Early Special Education Services, if it is found that the child needs special education services, the statement should include justification that a delay is at such a level that without intervention prior to enrollment in elementary LEA, it would affect his/her future success in the home, school, or community.)

- 2. Questions and answers necessary for the EPT to determine whether the disability and adverse effect combine to result in a need for special education services:
  - A. What accommodations and modifications, if any, are necessary for the student to demonstrate progress within the general education (including early childhood) curriculum?
  - B. In what areas does the student require specially designed instruction that cannot be provided through the educational support system, or through the standard instructional conditions, supplementary aids and services within the school?
  - C. If the student is experiencing educational difficulty in a basic skill area, but does not qualify for special education under adverse effect or need, what additional information needs to be provided as part of the referral to the Section 504 Team or Educational Support Team?
- 3. Identify additional educational and functional performance needs of the student <u>not</u> documented in the Adverse Effect section that were assessed and may need to be addressed either by the IEP Team, the Section 504 Team or the school's multi-tiered system of support or other standard supports available to students through the school.



Additional area(s) requiring consideration:

□ Basic reading skills	□ Reading Comprehension	
□ Reading Fluency (SLD only)	□ Motor Skills	
□ Mathematics calculation	□ Mathematics reasoning	
□ Written expression	Functional Performance/Skills	
□ Listening comprehension	□ Oral Expression	
□ Social/Emotional/Behavioral		
For Early Childhood Special Education:		
□ Adaptive Development	□ Cognitive Skills	
□ Speech and language Development	□ Social or Emotional Development	
□ Physical Development (fine or gross motor skills)		
□ Medical condition(s) (please describe)		

4. Summarize the Evaluation and Planning Team's decision regarding the need for special education services:

Does the team conclude that the student has a need for special education services?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

### Decision of the Evaluation and Planning Team Regarding Eligibility- Final Page

Based upon the results of this Evaluation Plan and Report, the Evaluation and Planning Team has determined that \_\_\_\_\_\_:

□ Meets or continues to meet the special education eligibility requirements under the disability category/categories: \_\_\_\_\_\_

□ Did not meet or did not continue to meet the special education eligibility requirements. The reason(s) for determining this ineligibility is/are: \_\_\_\_\_

Enclosures:



Once the evaluation is completed, if you agree with the eligibility decision, please initial in the last column where your name is listed on (pages 1 and 2 of this document).

If you disagree, please complete the next page, where information about parental rights and disagreement are located.



#### **Report of Disagreement**

If a child/student has a documented disability but does not demonstrate either an adverse effect or a need for special education services, they must be referred to their building principal who then ensures that a 504 Team reviews the student/child's eligibility and supports.

If you do not agree with the evaluations used to make this decision, you may request an independent educational evaluation. The criteria for selecting an evaluator for an independent evaluation, including the location and qualification of the evaluator, must meet the same standard as used by the school district. If you cannot find an evaluator, ask the school district to provide you with information about where you can get such an evaluation. The independent evaluation must be done at public expense, unless the school district asks for a due process hearing to prove their evaluation was appropriate. If the hearing officer agrees with the school district, the independent evaluation would be completed at your own expense.

Both the state and federal laws concerning special education of children with disabilities include many parental rights. Receiving notices about the proposed actions or decisions the school wishes to take regarding your child and your being a part of the educational planning team for your child with a disability are examples of rights given to you by these laws. These laws also require that the school follow certain procedures to make sure you know your rights and are afforded the opportunity to exercise those rights. You received a copy of these rights when your child was referred.

Name:	
	_Date:
Reasons for Disagreement:	
Conclusion:	
Signature:	
You should read them carefully and, if you have	e any questions regarding your rights, please contact:
School Staff:	Phone:
Written Address for Mail:	

