

# **Educational Support Team (EST): Practice Profile**

The Educational Support Team (EST) practice profile provides descriptions of possible EST practices. These have been organized in a rubric that ranges from ineffective or least effective on the far left to most effective and well-integrated on the far right. The practice profile addresses the following areas:

- Schedule, Norms and Teaming
- Referrals, Process and Outcomes
- Family and Student Engagement
- Administrative Engagement and Support

#### **Purpose**

The practice profile is intended as a tool to help district and school level EST staff to self-assess their EST and to pinpoint possible areas for improvement. It is not intended to provide an overall score or scores for the separate areas. This tool should promote open discussion and collaboration that helps improve the quality and consistency of EST practices.

#### How to Use the Practice Profile

Ideally, individuals involved with the EST would have an opportunity to review the practice profile prior to a discussion meeting. In a group, individuals should share their discoveries. Participants should consider whether there is data or evidence that supports their choices. It is not expected that any EST's practices will all fall exclusively into single columns and the numbers at the top of columns are for reference, not scoring.

If the group agrees that there are EST practices that fall in column 1 or 2, these should be considered as areas for improvement. If there is agreement that most or all practices are best described in column 3, consider how to expand practices to include those described in column 4. This column gives some ideas for integrating EST more completely with school or district-wide practices.

#### **Contact Information:**

If you have questions about this document or would like additional information please contact:

Tom Faris, Student Support Services at <u>Thomas.Faris@vermont.gov</u>.

## Schedule, Norms, Teaming

1	2	3	4
<b>1</b> EST meetings are scheduled on an "as needed" basis <u>or</u> regularly scheduled meetings are often cancelled. There is one person who is seen to "own" the meetings and is responsible for scheduling and documentation. Records of minutes are incomplete and/or difficult to access. The team may rely on group recollection of decisions rather than recorded minutes. Attendance of team members is variable and unpredictable and the regular team lacks some roles recommended by the AOE. School administration does not give priority to scheduling and staffing the EST. There is no reliable mechanism for sharing EST information at the district level.	There is a regular schedule for EST meetings but it may be disrupted by other events. Sometimes there are too many concerns to address within the scheduled time or there are meetings that do not have enough work to fill the time. Meetings have stated norms and there is a division of roles, but the norms are rarely reviewed and may be ignored. Effectiveness of the meeting may be compromised when members who typically facilitate or record are absent. Membership generally conforms to AOE recommendations but regular attendance of members may be compromised by other conflicts or priorities. Team members may be selected on the basis of availability rather than interest or expertise. There are minutes of meetings but they may be incomplete and/or difficult to access. There are	EST meetings are scheduled through the school year. The meeting schedule is frequent enough to address all referrals and follow-ups in a timely manner. Meetings follow established norms with assigned roles, including a facilitator, recorder and timekeeper. Norms and required roles are reviewed periodically to consider whether they are followed or need modification. All EST members are scheduled to attend every meeting and the list of members conforms to AOE recommendations. At least one member is a member of a district level team that is charged with EST oversight. Some team meetings include discussion of potential systemic improvements that could build capacity in the general education classrooms. All team meetings include discussion of who	4All of the indicators listed in 3 andEST norms include a shared vision for student inclusion and equity of engagement in achieving challenging academic outcomes. This vision is shared across the SU/SD.EST members are active participants in school/district learning communities and make intentional connections in their work in both settings.EST meeting practices are seen as exemplars of best practice for school/district meetings. Other school/district meetings have similar practices.
not give priority to scheduling and staffing the EST. There is no reliable mechanism for sharing EST	attendance of members may be compromised by other conflicts or priorities. Team members may be selected on the basis of availability rather than interest or expertise. There are minutes of meetings but	member is a member of a district level team that is charged with EST oversight. Some team meetings include discussion of potential systemic improvements that could build capacity in the general	exemplars of best practice for school/district meetings. Other school/district meetings have similar



## Referrals, Process, Outcomes

1	2	3	4
Referrals may originate from a variety of sources, including those who have little direct information. There is no clear referral process. The cause for referral is often vague or very general. There is little or no indication that any interventions have been attempted prior to referral. The referral may be shared with the team by someone who does not have direct knowledge of the student or the concern. Team discussion includes speculation not supported by data. There is a tendency to address most problems with a limited set of options (i.e. special ed eval, general academic support program, placement in a less demanding class). There may be no criteria for improvement and no specified time to review progress.	Most referrals originate with teachers. There is a formal referral process but it is challenging to complete and may actually discourage some referrals. Other referrals take place outside the formal process. Prior interventions by the teacher are highly variable as is team involvement, data and operational description of the concern. There are usually efforts to involve the referring teacher in the meeting but these may take the teacher out of a class and involve substitute coverage. The quality of team discussion is uneven and often dependent on the variability of referrals and team attendance.	Most EST referrals originate with teachers. Prior to referral, teachers usually have attempted to address the concern by differentiating instruction and have used existing team supports. Families and school counselors have been informed of the concern(s) and are aware that an EST referral is being made. The referral includes an operational description of the concern with supporting data and a description of a desired result. The referring teacher or a team member with knowledge of the concern presents the concern to the EST. Team discussion typically includes a review of data and an opportunity for clarifying questions. Specialists/interventionists on the team suggest interventions that are likely to achieve the desired result. The team shares discussion to decide when, where and by whom supports will be provided. A review date is set to assess whether the plan has resulted in progress and whether it should be continued, discontinued or altered. The team also decides who else needs to be informed of any of the	All of the indicators listed in 3 and The EST makes time to review the referral process and the fidelity of implementation. This includes general data about causes and sources of referrals. This data is shared with school and district teams for CNA/CIP development. EST data is used in assessing the relative strength of VTmtss components, including Effective Collaboration, High Quality Instruction and Intervention and Comprehensive Assessment. Teachers belong to other collaborative teams such as grade level/content area/learning community that support learners and educators. Student concerns are usually surfaced within these teams prior to an EST referral. Teachers often invite other staff to observe their classes, review lesson plans and discuss assessments. Professional practice is seen as a shared area for continuous improvement.



## Family and Student Engagement

1	2	3	4
There are no regular processes for	There is a stated practice of	In almost all cases, families and students are	All of the indicators listed in 3
engaging or informing families	informing and engaging families.	informed of concerns prior to an EST referral	and
about an EST meeting for their	This is usually limited to informing	and have been informed of earlier interventions.	
student. Any sharing of	the family that a meeting will take		The school and district make
information is informal and	place and may include a limited	There is an accepted belief that families are	family engagement a priority
incidental to the team process.	opportunity for input. Families may	entitled to be informed when the school	and a focus for continuous
1	also be notified after a meeting and	proposes an EST meeting and that their input is	improvement. EST data about
Students and their families are not	given information about a plan.	valued.	family involvement is one
given specific information about	0		measure used in this planning.
the reason for the plan or the	Information given to families about	School staff are intentional in their	
desired outcomes.	the EST is general and may not help	communications with families about the reason	Personalization and student
	families understand the differences	for an EST referral and data that support this	agency in learning are
School/district web pages do not	between EST, 504 and special	decision.	important aspects of the
describe the EST or information is	education.		educational system. Intentional
minimal or difficult to locate.		There may be an opportunity for family	efforts are made to include
	Families may be included in plans	members and the student to attend the EST	student voice and choice,
The EST may be offered to families	that address behavior, social or	meeting, though this is not necessarily typical	including in the development
as an alternative or required first	emotional concerns but their	practice.	of EST plans.
step for a special education	involvement is generally limited to		-
evaluation.	accessing non-school services such	Families and students are encouraged to share	Students are aware of learning
	as counseling.	their thoughts and perspectives about a concern	objectives and can accurately
There is no expectation that		and to provide additional data if available.	self-evaluate their progress.
families will participate in a plan	Students may be involved in		
or that they will receive	discussions about their needs. Such	They are provided details of any plan	
information about the progress of	discussions may either portray	developed by the EST, including objectives and	
a plan.	supports as a negative consequence	expected time frame.	
	of student performance <u>or</u>	For all in the second second second second	
	accommodations that reduce the	Families are informed of the differences	
	expectations for student learning.	between an EST plan and services provided for	
		students with disabilities through section 504 or	
		special education.	



## Administrative Engagement and Support

1 2	3	4
12School level administration designates a staff member to manage EST. The EST head is responsible for scheduling, staffing and maintaining EST records.School administration wo EST coordinator to staff and EST but staffing and/or sci issues still interfere with E functioning.School administrator(s) rarely attend EST meetings. The EST has no authority to request or assign resources or staff for supports.An administrator often att but is not a consistent, full member or an administration the EST but there are concl lack of separation of supp evaluative roles.Administrative use of EST data is mostly confined to completion of an annual survey for the AOE.Administration does not p emphasize a clear, consist the success of all students an acceptance of a role of categorize students or to e remove students from the education setting.District level administration has no direct contact with school-based ESTs.District level administratio remove students from the education setting.District level administration has no direct contact with school-based ESTs.District level administratio remove students from the education setting.District level administration has no direct contact with school-based ESTs.District level administration remove students from the education setting.District level administration has no direct contact with school-based ESTs.District level administration remove students from the education setting.	ks with the d schedule an edulingStaffing and scheduling the EST are planned in advance of each school year and are a priority in the school and district master schedules. School and district administration ensure that appropriate staff are assigned to the EST. Assignment to an EST does not conflict with other responsibilities.ends the EST v engaged or manages erns there is a ortive andAn administrator participates in every EST but the administrative role is generally seen as facilitative, not directive. All team members have an equal voice.rovide or the EST to fectively generalSU/SD administration uses data and input from all ESTs to ensure that shared district staff are equitably assigned across all schools in the district.n has picallySchool and district administration use EST data and input to ensure that there is adequate funding for supports and interventions.	All of the indicators listed in 3 <i>and</i> School and district administration demonstrate commitment to collaborative staff work through scheduling, development of shared norms and practices, and attention

