

[SBE Rule 2362.2.4 and 34 CFR §300.306]

| Au | tism | | |
|----|--|-------|------|
| 1. | The existence of autism and its effect on the student's ability to function? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 2. | Was this existence determined by a licensed physician and/or psychologist with training and experience in understanding autism spectrum disorders and developmental disorders? | □ Yes | □ No |
| De | velopmental Delay | | |
| 1. | The child/student demonstrated an observable and measure 40% delay in one or more fundamental skills? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | OR | | |
| of | hibits a 2.0 standard deviation at, or below the mean (2nd percentile) in one the following OR exhibits a 1.5 standard deviation at or below the mean h percentile) in 2 or more of the following areas: | | |
| | Receptive and/or expressive communication | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | Adaptive development | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | Social or emotional development | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | Physical development including gross or fine motor skills | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | Cognitive skills such as perception, memory, processing and reasoning | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | Determined the delay using at least two assessment procedures, at least one being a norm-referenced assessment? A medical condition, documented by a physician, which may result in significant | □ Yes | □ No |
| | delays by the child's sixth birthday? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 4. | The child/student was on an Individual Family Service Plan/One Plan prior to their third birthday and still demonstrates a 25% delay? | □ Yes | □ No |
| Di | sability Category-Emotional Disturbance | | |
| 1. | The student's condition exhibits one or more of the following met criteria over a long period of time and to a marked degree? (circle all that apply): | | |

health factors?

teachers?

b. An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and

a. An inability to learn that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory or

c. Inappropriate types of behaviors or feelings under normal circumstances?



[SBE Rule 2362.2.4 and 34 CFR §300.306]

| | d. A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression a tendency to devel symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems? | | |
|----|---|-------|------|
| 2. | A licensed psychologist or psychiatrist provided an opinion of the existence of the | | |
| | ED and its effect on the student's ability to function? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Di | sability Category-Hearing Loss | | |
| 1. | The student had a 25 decibel HL threshold (ANSI, 69) or worse for one or more of the | | |
| | frequencies 250-8000HZ, in one or both ears? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 2. | As determined by an audiologist, otologist or otolaryngologist? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Di | sability Category-Intellectual Disability | | |
| 1. | A minus 1.5 standard deviation on a test of intellectual ability (e.g. 100 minus 22.5 | | |
| | points on most standard, cognitive tests)? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 2. | Concurrent deficits in adaptive behavior were documented? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Di | sability Category-Other Health Impairment | | |
| 1. | The existence of an impairment that limits a student's strength, vitality or alertness | | |
| | (including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli) that results in limited | | |
| | alertness with respect to the educational environment? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 2. | Was it determined by a professional who has the appropriate licensure to offer the | | |
| | opinion of the specific condition and its effect on the student's ability to function? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 3. | Was it determined by a professional who has specific training and experience in | | |
| | diagnosing and recommending treatment for the specific condition suspected? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Di | sability Category-Orthopedic Impairment | | |

☐ Yes

Yes

□ No

☐ No

student to function?

function?

1. The existence of a congenital anomaly, disease, or other condition and it's effect on the

2. A licensed physician provided the diagnosis and its effect on the student's ability to



[SBE Rule 2362.2.4 and 34 CFR §300.306]

Disability Category-Specific Learning Disability-Discrepancy Model 1. A 1.5 SD discrepancy exists below an expected achievement level in: □ listening comprehension □ oral expression □ written expression □ basic reading skills □ mathematics calculation □ reading comprehension ☐ mathematics reasoning ☐ Yes 2. A written observation outside of the testing setting was performed. □ No 3. The 1.5 SD discrepancy was calculated correctly (e.g. Standard Error of measurement was considered, most current evaluation procedure was used, where appropriate)? ☐ Yes □ No 4. Did the EPT determine that the disability is not primarily the result of: ☐ Yes A visual, hearing, or motor disability? □ No ☐ Yes □ No Learning Impairment? **Emotional Disturbance?** ☐ Yes □ No Cultural factors? ☐ Yes □ No Environmental or economic disadvantage? ☐ Yes □ No Limited English Proficiency? ☐ Yes □ No Disability Category-Specific Learning Disability- Rtl/MTSS 1. High quality instruction and behavior supports were provided by trained personnel? ☐ Yes □ No 2. Scientifically validated interventions were appropriate for suspected basic skill areas affected by the Specific Learning Disability? ☐ Yes □ No 3. On-going progress monitoring was conducted to inform interventions? ☐ Yes □ No

4. Data includes rate of student progress compared to the general population and to a similar peer interaction group, with baseline data compared to pre/post interventions? ☐ Yes □ No 5. Learning experiences appropriate to the student were provided with integrity at an appropriate level of consistency, and includes of the amount and intensity of intervention? ☐ Yes ■ No 6. Data-based intervention, integrity, fidelity, and intensity have been identified? ☐ Yes □ No 7. A systematic process for using a continuum of intervention options was used to determine if a child responded to research-based interventions? ☐ Yes □ No 8. Parents were informed throughout the RtI process (benchmark assessment performance, strategic and curriculum adaptations, progress monitoring, EST databased decisions, referral to special education? ☐ Yes □ No

9. A classroom observation was conducted and was found consistent with other information about the child's academic and functional performance?

□ Yes

□ No



[SBE Rule 2362.2.4 and 34 CFR §300.306]

| Di | sability Category- | Speech/Language Imp | pairment | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|-------|------|--|--|
| 1. | Is there a 2.0 stand | dard deviation delay in L | istening Comprehension? | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| 2. | Is there a 2.0 stand | Is there a 2.0 standard deviation delay in Oral Expression? | | | □ No | | |
| 3. | Determined a 2+ Articulation errors in unrelated phonemes for a developmental age | | | | | | |
| | range? | | | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| Di | sability Category- | Traumatic Brain Injury | | | | | |
| 1. | A licensed physician provided the diagnosis of the TBI and its effect on the student's | | | | | | |
| | ability to function? | | | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| 2. | The condition <i>does not</i> include congenital or degenerative defects or brain injuries | | | | | | |
| | induced by birth trauma? | | | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| 3. | The condition includes open or closed head injuries resulting in impairments in one or | | | | | | |
| | more of the following areas: | | | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| | □ cognition | □reasoning | ☐sensory, perceptual and motor abilities | | | | |
| | □ attention | ☐ abstract thinking | □problem solving | | | | |
| | □judgment | □memory | | | | | |
| Di | sability Category- | Visual Impairment | | | | | |
| 1. | Central visual acuity is 20/70 or worse in the better eye, with correction or a peripheral | | | | | | |
| | field that subtends an angle not greater than 20 degrees at its widest diameter? | | | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| 2. | As evaluated by an optometrist or ophthalmologist? | | | | □ No | | |